

Supreme Court No. 75934-1
Consolidated with No. 75956-1

**IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

HEATHER ANDERSEN and LESLIE CHRISTIAN *et al.*, Respondents,

v.

KING COUNTY *et al.*, Appellants.

Appeal from the Superior Court of King County
The Honorable William L. Downing

CELIA CASTLE and BRENDA BAUER *et al.*, Respondents,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, Appellant.

Appeal from the Superior Court of Thurston County
The Honorable Richard D. Hicks

***AMICI CURIAE* BRIEF OF STATE LEGISLATORS
REPRESENTATIVE FRED JARRETT, REPRESENTATIVE JIM
MOELLER, REPRESENTATIVE EDWARD MURRAY,
REPRESENTATIVE DAVE UPTHEGROVE, SENATOR DEBBIE
REGALA AND SENATOR PAT THIBAudeau**

Hugh Spitzer, WSBA No. 5827

Foster Pepper & Shefelman PLLC
1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400
Seattle, WA 98101-3299
206-447-8965
Attorneys for *Amici Curiae*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	ISSUE	2
III.	SIX METHODS OF INTERPRETING THE CLAUSE	2
	A. Six basic analytical approaches.	2
	B. Using a <i>text-based method</i> , same-sex couples and their families have a right to marry.....	5
	C. An <i>historical</i> understanding of privileges and immunities establishes that the clause extends protections to minorities as well as majorities.....	7
	D. Washington’s <i>constitutional ethos</i> is part of an Anglo-American tradition that emphasizes equality of access to rights and privileges.	12
	E. The <i>structure</i> of Washington’s constitution – and its focus on individual rights – supports the application of Article I, Section 12 to protect individuals and couples who wish to wed a member of the same sex.	13
	F. <i>Doctrine and case law</i> sustain the rights of same-sex couples under Article I, Section 12.	14
	G. <i>Prudential concerns</i> (“balancing tests”) irresistibly lead to the conclusion that same-sex marriages extend important fundamental rights to couples and their children with <i>no</i> adverse impact on others in the community.	15
IV.	CONCLUSION	18

APPENDIX	Barbara Mahoney, The Privileges or Immunities Clause In the Washington State Constitution: A Source of Substantive Rights? (February 12, 2002)
----------	--

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Anderson v. King County</i> , Sup. Ct. Cause No. 04-2-04964-4 SEA (King County August 4, 2004) (“Downing Opinion”).....	7, 17
<i>Bacich v. Huse</i> , 187 Wn. 75, 159 P.2d 1101 (1936)	15
<i>Castle v. State of Washington</i> , Sup. Ct. Cause No. 04-2-00614-4 (Thurston County Sept. 7, 2004) (“Hicks Opinion”)	7, 17
<i>Dunbar & Edge v. Government of Yukon</i> , 2004 YKSC 54 (Yukon Canada 2004).....	12
<i>EGALE Canada Inc. v. Canada</i> , 38 R.F.L. 5 th 32 (B.C. Canada 2003).....	12
<i>Grant County Fire Protection District No. 5 v. City of Moses Lake</i> , 150 Wn.2d 791, 83 P.3d 419 (2004)	5, 14
<i>Halpern v. City of Toronto</i> , 36 R.F.L. 5 th 127 (Ont. Canada 2003).....	12
<i>Sofie v. Fibreboard Corp.</i> , 112 Wn.2d 636, 771 P.2d 711 (1989)	4, 7, 8
<i>Southcenter Joint Venture v. National Democratic Policy Comm.</i> , 113 Wn.2d 413, 780 P.2d 1282 (1989)	4
<i>State Finance Comm. v. Martin</i> , 62 Wn.2d, 645, 384 P.2d 833 (1963).....	8
<i>State v. Clark</i> , 291 Or. 231 (1981)	14
<i>State v. Coe</i> , 101 Wn.2d 364, 679 P.2d 353 (1984)	4
<i>State v. Ferrier</i> , 136 Wn.2d 103, 960 P.2d 927 (1998)	4, 5
<i>State v. Gocken</i> , 127 Wn.2d 95, 896 P.2d 1267 (1995)	4
<i>State v. Gunwall</i> , 106 Wn.2d 54, 720 P.2d 808 (1986)	3, 4
<i>State v. Smith</i> , 117 Wn.2d 263, 814 P.2d 652 (1991)	13
<i>State v. Smith</i> , 150 Wn.2d 135, 75 P.3d 934 (2003)	3
<i>State v. Wethered</i> , 110 Wn.2d 466, 755 P.2d 797 (1988)	4

<i>State v. White</i> , 135 Wn.2d 761, 958 P.2d 982 (1998)	5
<i>Tanner v. OHSU</i> , 157 Or. App. 502, 971 P.2d 435 (Or. Ct. App. 1998)	14
<i>United Parcel Serv., Inc. v. Dep't of Revenue</i> , 102 Wn.2d 355, 687 P.2d 186 (1984)	15
<i>Washington Water Jet Workers Ass'n v. Yarbrough</i> , 151 Wn.2d 470, 90 P.3d 42 (2004)	3, 5, 7
<i>Young v. Clark</i> , 149 Wn.2d 130, 65 P.3d 1192 (2003)	3

Constitutions and Statutes

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedom, §15(1).....	12
RCW § 26.04.010	1, 2, 6
RCW 11.02	13
RCW 11.04	16
RCW 11.28	16
RCW 26.16	16
RCW 26.26	13
RCW 4.20	16
RCW 48.44	16
RCW 5.60.060	16
U.S. Const., amend. X	13
Wash. Const. article I, §§ 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 24.....	14
Wash. Const. article I, § 12	1, 6

Other Authorities

Philip Bobbitt, CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION, 12-13 (1991).....	3
Paul Brest, <i>The Misconceived Quest For the Original Understanding</i> , 60 B.U. L. Rev. 204, 206 (1980).....	5
Thomas Cooley, CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS (5th ed. 1883).....	11
THE DECLARATION AND RESOLVES OF THE FIRST CONTINENTAL CONGRESS, 1774	10
THE JOURNAL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION, 1889 (Beverly Paulik Rosenow ed., 1999).....	14
Barbara Mahoney, <i>The Privileges or Immunities Clause In the Washington State Constitution: A Source of Substantive Rights?</i> (February 12, 2002) (unpublished paper attached and available in the University of Washington Gallagher Law Library)	9